

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/612,733	07/10/2000	John T. Kennedy	DES-0003	9288
23413 7	590 05/24/2002			
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP			EXAMINER	
55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			INZIRILLO, GIOACCHINO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	
			DATE MAILED: 05/24/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		le			
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/612,733	KENNEDY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gioacchino Inzirillo	2828			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of t ido will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mutute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	· ·				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und	•	• •			
Disposition of Claims	lian .				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the applicat					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	arawn from consideration.	Paul Jo			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected.		PAUL IP			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	diamata di sala sa sa Sasas sa t	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	a/or election requirement.	1201110200			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	<u></u>	v the Examiner			
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in	Application No			
Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	•				
a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has	been received.			
Attachment(s)	could priority under oo 0.o.	0. 33 120 dilator 121.			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3,5.6.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/612,733

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 – 16, 21, 24 – 29, 33, 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Erichsen et al. US 5,600,668 (herein after known as Erichsen) in view of Cantoni US 4,815,094 (herein after known as Cantoni).

Regarding claims 1, 8, 21, 25, 26, 27, 28 and 35, Fig. 1 Erichsen teaches a waveguide with a plurality of rectangular channels defined between electrodes 2 and 4. Electrodes 2 and 4 are divided into three pairs of electrodes via the grooves 6, resulting in a pairing of sections 22 and 42, 24 and 44 and 26 and 46. Reference numeral 16 teaches at least one power supply. Erichsen

Art Unit: 2828

fails to teach a housing with a plurality of compartments, where once placed therein, the waveguides plurality of electrodes would be in the plurality of compartments. However, Cantoni teaches a housing in Fig. 9 of his patent, where the waveguide portion is placed therein. A housing can provide the benefit protection of components. The waveguide laser of Erichsen would then define its own compartments by virtue of its geometry. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to place the waveguide of Erichsen in a housing as taught by Cantoni.

Regarding claims 2-6, Erichsen fails to teach a shield. He does however teach grooves 6 that keep the electrodes electrically isolated, which produce the same effect.

Regarding claims 7, 24 and 29, Cantoni teaches a rounded corner in Fig. 7.

Regarding claims 9, 10 and 16 - 19, inductors are well known, and would be obvious to one of ordinary skill.

Regarding claims 11 - 15, Fig. 2 shows a channel for cooling liquid in one of the electrodes, where fin portions are formed in the electrode.

Regarding claims 33 and 34, Cantoni shows channels subtended by an angular orientation.

Determination of the optimum angle would require only routine skill in the art.

Art Unit: 2828

Claims 30 - 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Erichsen in view of Cantoni as applied to claims 1 - 16, 21, 24 - 29, 33, 34 and 35 above, and further in view of Justus et al. US 5,491,579 (herein after known as Justus). Erichsen in view of Cantoni fails to teach a periscope. However, Justus teaches that it is known to use such an arrangement in the laser art, see Justus column 1 lines 24 - 33.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Erichsen in view of Cantoni as applied to claims 1 – 16, 21, 24 – 29, 33, 34 and 35 above, and further in view of Laakmann US 4,169,251 (herein after known as Laakmann). Erichsen in view of Cantoni fails to teach phase and impedance matching in a waveguide laser. However, Laakmann teaches both in column 5 lines 52 – 62 and Fig. 3. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Erichsen in view of Cantoni to include the phase and impedance matching as taught by Laakmann.

Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Erichsen in view of Cantoni as applied to claims 1 - 16, 21, 24 - 29, 33, 34 and 35 above, and further in view of Smith US 3,772,611 (herein after known as Smith). Erichsen in view of Cantoni fails to teach waveguide channels designed with Fresnel numbers. However, Smith teaches this his abstract. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify channels in Erichsen in view of Cantoni to be designed with Fresnel numbers as taught by Smith.

Art Unit: 2828

Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Erichsen in view of Cantoni as applied to claims 1 – 16, 21, 24 – 29, 33, 34 and 35 above, and further in view of Sukhman US 5,881,087 (herein after known as Sukhman). Erichsen in view of Cantoni fails to teach an aluminum electrode. However, Sukhman teaches this in his abstract. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use in Erichsen in view of Cantoni the aluminum electrodes as taught by Sukhman.

Claims 37 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Erichsen in view of Cantoni as applied to claims 1 - 16, 21, 24 - 29, 33, 34 and 35 above, and further in view of Morokawa US 5,654,782 (herein after known as Morokawa). Erichsen in view of Cantoni fails to teach a titanium oxide electrode. However, Morokawa teaches this in column 7 lines 7 - 10. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use in Erichsen in view of Cantoni the titanium oxide electrodes as taught by Morokawa.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gioacchino Inzirillo whose telephone number is 703-305-1967. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on 703-308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7721 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/612,733

Art Unit: 2828

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should

be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

May 13, 2002

PAUL IP SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 6